

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
For CDRU # 31 Case file # 70-74563.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Although the case resulted in a trial, no transcript was provided.

CRM-22496

# INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 8:45 AM (Time), 11/14/00 (Date)

File #: 70-74563

Laboratory #(s): 50904015

### Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UF	<input type="checkbox"/>	X <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Materials Reviewed

**Trial testimony transcript(s) of:**

Testimony Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Report(s):**

Laboratory Number: 50904015 Date: Oct 28, 1985

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ

Laboratory Number: 50904015

Page 1 of 3

**Initials:**

CRM-22497

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letter of 8/29/85

### Results of Review

File #: 70-74563

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1, K1

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SWA

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 70-74563


#1. It cannot be determined from the documentation if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil and not ink and use abbreviations that are difficult to interpret (such as "M-dk dist, lt dist, lt indist" and "cut-ssp" to describe some of the characteristics of the hair).

Review completed at: 9:00 AM (Time), 11 / 14 / 2000 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.25 hour

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

  
(Signature)

11-14-2000  
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials:

